



AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

ANSI/ASSE Z590.3 – 2011 (R2016)
Prevention through Design

*Guidelines for Addressing Occupational
Hazards and Risks in Design and
Redesign Processes*

ANSI/ASSE Z590.3 – 2011 (R2016)



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF
SAFETY ENGINEERS

This is a preview of "ANSI/ASSE Z590.3-201...". [Click here to purchase the full version from the ANSI store.](#)

The information and materials contained in this publication have been developed from sources believed to be reliable. However, the American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE), as secretariat of the ANSI Z590 Committee, or individual committee members accept no legal responsibility for the correctness or completeness of this material or its application to specific factual situations. By publication of this standard, ASSE or the Z590.3 Committee does not ensure that adherence to these recommendations will protect the safety or health of any persons, or preserve property.

ANSI®
ANSI/ASSE Z590.3 – 2011 (R2016)

American National Standard

Prevention through Design

**Guidelines for Addressing Occupational Hazards
and Risks in Design and Redesign Processes**

Secretariat

American Society of Safety Engineers
520 N. Northwest Highway
Park Ridge, Illinois 60068

Approved December 14, 2015

American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer. Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution. The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he/she has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards. The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstance give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretation should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

Caution Notice: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Published February, 2016 by

American Society of Safety Engineers
520 N. Northwest Highway
Park Ridge, Illinois 60068
(847) 699-2929 • www.asse.org

Copyright ©2016 by American Society of Safety Engineers
All Rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced
in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or
otherwise, without the prior written permission
of the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

FOREWORD

This standard was developed to provide consistent procedures for addressing occupational hazards and risks in the design and redesign processes, and to replace Technical Report ASSE TR-Z790.001 – 2009.

In the late 1990s, the Advisory Committee of the Institute for Safety through Design at the National Safety Council concluded that significant benefits will be derived if decisions affecting safety, health and the environment are integrated into the early stages of the design and redesign processes. The benefits noted were:

- Significant reductions will be achieved in injuries, illnesses and damage to property and the environment, and their attendant costs.
- Productivity will be improved.
- Operating costs will be reduced.
- Expensive retrofitting to correct design shortcomings will be avoided.

Developments since then have given additional importance and credence to management, design engineers and safety and health professionals having knowledge of the principles and practices applied in addressing occupational risks in the design and redesign processes. For example, there has been a more frequent inclusion of provisions in safety standards and guidelines requiring that hazards and risks be addressed in the design and redesign processes. This standard is related to, and provides assistance in, fulfilling those requirements.

Another development supports the need for this standard. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) held a workshop in July 2007 to obtain the views of a variety of stakeholders on a major National initiative to “create a sustainable national strategy for Prevention through Design.”

Some participants in that workshop expressed the view that the long-term impact of the NIOSH initiative could be “transformative,” meaning that a fundamental paradigm shift could occur resulting in greater emphasis being given to the higher and more effective decision levels in the hierarchy of controls. For this initiative, the NIOSH Mission is:

To reduce the risk of occupationally related injuries, illnesses, fatalities and exposures by including prevention considerations in all designs that affect individuals in the occupational environment.

This NIOSH initiative is based on its stated premise: “One of the best ways to prevent and control occupational injuries, illnesses and fatalities is to design out and minimize hazards and risks early in the design process.”

Enthusiasm for additional knowledge of prevention through design principles and practices was significant. Several attendees at the workshop said that a guideline, regulation or standard is needed that sets forth the principles and the methodologies to address hazards and risks in the

design and redesign processes. Technical Report Z790 temporarily addressed those needs. This standard refines, expands and replaces the content of the Technical Report.

One of the most significant subjects discussed at the July 2007 workshop was the need to incorporate design concepts pertaining to occupational hazards and risks into academic curricula for all disciplines because of the recognition that few curricula include segments on addressing hazards and risks in the design and redesign processes.

This standard also is in concert with the stated intent of the American Society of Safety Engineers, in a Position Paper that was approved by the Board of Directors in 1994 to promote acquisition of knowledge of and application of "Designing For Safety" concepts. The opening paragraph of that Paper reads as follows.

Designing for Safety (DFS) is a principle for design planning for new facilities, equipment, and operations (public and private) to conserve human and natural resources, and thereby protect people, property and the environment. DFS advocates systematic process to ensure state-of-the-art engineering and management principles are used and incorporated into the design of facilities and overall operations to assure safety and health of workers, as well as protection of the environment and compliance with current codes and standards.

In August 2007, the ASSE Engineering Practice Specialty group within the American Society of Safety Engineers published an article in a Special Issue of its Newsletter "By Design," the title of which was "Prevention through Design: Addressing Occupational Risks in the Design and Redesign Processes." This article, by Fred A. Manuele, CSP, P.E., was also published in the October 2008 issue of *Professional Safety*. That article formed an early basis for the Z790.001-2009 technical report, and now this standard.

On September 23, 2008, NIOSH held a "Kick-off meeting" with respect to its initiative on Prevention through Design. One of the action items discussed was to: "Develop and approve a broad generic voluntary consensus standard on Prevention through Design that is aligned with international design activities and practice." That gave impetus for ASSE to consider further immediate action that resulted in the development of its Technical Report and this standard.

The continuum of similar initiatives is also important as cited in the two references below.

Namely, ANSI/AIHA Z10, the *Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems* standard sets a benchmark provision requiring that processes be in place "to identify and take appropriate steps to prevent or otherwise control hazards and reduce risks associated with new processes or operations at the design stage." Z10 also states that "The design review should consider all aspects including design, construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning." Several stakeholders have pointed out that Z10 states what needed to be done, but more information needs to be provided on how to meet its performance requirements.

Secondly, the OSHA Alliance Construction Roundtable developed a video training program titled "Design for Construction Safety" to reduce construction injuries by incorporating features in the design stage of a construction project that make a building or structure safer to build and maintain.

On November 18, 2008, the ASSE Standards Development Committee discussed further developing the paper published by ASSE into a technical report or a standard. A decision was made by the committee to consider further immediate action that resulted in developing a Technical Report and then this standard

Several standards and guidelines were used as references in the preparation of this standard. Particular note is made of the several versions of MIL-STD 882, the Department of Defense, *Standard Practice for System Safety*.

Requirements in the standard are identified by the word "shall." An organization that chooses to conform to this standard is expected to fulfill those "shall" requirements. Explanatory comments and recommended practices preceded by the word "Note" are informative and not requirements of the standard. Also, addenda are informative and are not normative requirements of the standard.

Revisions: The Z590.3 Committee welcomes proposals for revisions to this standard. Revisions are made periodically (usually five years from the date of the standard) to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable, as demonstrated by experience gained from the application of the standard. Proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the relevant paragraph number(s), the proposed wording, and the reason for the proposal. Pertinent documentation would enable the Z590.3 Committee to process the changes in a timelier manner.

Interpretations: Upon a request in writing to the Secretariat, the Z590.3 Committee will render an interpretation of any part of the standard. The request for interpretation should be clear, citing the relevant paragraph number(s) and phrased as a request for a clarification of a specific requirement. Oral interpretations are not provided. No one but ASSE is authorized to provide any interpretation of this standard.

This standard is effective 90 days after the publishing of this standard. The committee recognizes that some period of time after the approval of this document is necessary for organizations, suppliers and users to develop new designs and/or modify existing standards or procedures in order to incorporate the new and/or revised requirements of this standard into their operations. The committee recommends that entities that choose to adopt this standard begin implementing the requirements within 12 months of the approval date.

Approval: Neither ASSE nor the Z590.3 Committee approves, certifies, rates or endorses any item, construction, proprietary device or activity.

Committee Meetings: Persons wishing to attend a meeting of the Z590.3 Committee should contact the Secretariat for information.

Standard Approval: This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the American National Standards Committee on Prevention through Design, Z590.3. Approval of the standard does not necessarily imply (nor is it required) that all Committee members voted for its approval. At the time this standard was approved, the Z590.3 Committee had the following members:

Fred A Manuele, P.E., CSP, Chair
 Bruce W Main, P.E., CSP, Vice Chair
 Timothy R. Fisher, CSP, CHMM, ARM, CPEA, Secretary
 Ovidiu Munteanu, Assistant Secretary
 Jennie Dalesandro, Administrative Technical Support

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
Accident & Safety Consultants	Dennis R. Andrews, Ph.D., PSP, CECD, WSO - CSS
American Association of Occupational Health Nurses (AAOHN)	Mary Gene Ryan, MPH, RN, COHN-S/SM, CSP, FAAOHN
American Foundry Society	Thomas Slavin, CSHM, CIH, CSP, CPEA
American Industrial Hygiene Association	Mary Ann Latko Mary O'Reilly
American Society of Civil Engineers/ Construction Institute	William Nash
AON Corporation	Leslie Batterson, CSP, CPEA
Arizona State University	Jonathan Klane
Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.	James D. Smith, CSP
ASSE Risk Assessment Institute	Kenneth Daigle Paul Esposito, CIH, CSP
Beattie Fire Protection & Risk Consulting, LLC	Walter Beattie, CSP, CFPS, CSHM
Bechtel Global Corporation	Jeffrey Vincoli, CSP, CHCM
BioEchoes, Inc.	Martha Bidez, Ph.D.
Black & Veatch	Chase Hartz
BNSF Railway	James Weber, MS, CSP, OHST
Boy Scouts of America	Richard Bourlon, P.E., CSP
Bresnahan Consulting Associates	Thomas F. Bresnahan, Jr., CSP
Bucknell University	T. Michael Toole
CL Consulting LLC	Craig Hauber, P.E., CSP
Clausen, Bret	Bret Clausen, CIH, CSP, CHMM, ARM
Coble, Taylor & Jones Safety Associate	David Coble, CSP
Cole-Preferred Safety Consulting, Inc.	Barry A. Cole
Columbia Construction Co.	Neil Webster, CSP, OHST
Comprehensive Loss Management Inc.	Richard A. Pollock, CSP
Consolidated Edison Company of New York Inc.	Samuel Ng, CSP, CHMM
Construction Ergonomics LLC	James G. Borchardt, CSP, CPE, CRIS
CPWR – The Center for Construction Research and Training	Babak Memarian
Design Safety Engineering	Bruce W Main, P.E., CSP
Dobson, Jack	Jack H. Dobson, Jr., CSP
East Carolina University	Hamid Fonooni, Ph.D., CPE
Eastern Alliance Insurance Group	Frank Baker, CSP, CFPS, ALCM
EHS First	Donald Jones
Ellis Fall Safety Solutions	J. Nigel Ellis, Ph.D., P.E., CSP, CPE
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University	Michael F. O'Toole, Ph.D.
Environmental Compliance Systems, Inc.	Kevin Lehner
Exponent	Eugenia Kennedy, CSP
Google, Inc.	Erike Young
Green SEED Energy	Judy Freeman
Halcon Resources	William A. Walker, CSP, CIH

Haley & Aldrich

Harris, Jeremy
Hartford Steam Boiler
Hays Companies
Hazards Limited
Heath & Associates
Heinlein, Carl
Henkel Corporation
Innovative Safety LLC
ISN

Johnson Controls
Kaiser Permanente
Kuwait Oil Co. (HSE-E&PD Team)
Liberty Mutual Insurance

LJB Inc.

National Electrical Contractors Association
NESTI
Northeast Consulting Engineers
Nuent Consulting
NuStar Energy L.P.
Oldendorf, Lawrence
Osley, Paul
Parsons
Perrich, Pamela
Potts, Robert L.
Professional Safety Consultants
PSM Consulting
Public Agency Safety Management Association
Rigid Lifelines
Risky Biz Services Inc.
SAFETRAN, LLC
Safety & Loss Control Professionals
Safety Management Consultants Inc.
Scanlon, Kelly
SPAN International
Stony Brook University Hospital
Suga, Ram (Reggie)
U.S. Air Force

University of Central Missouri
Virginia Beach City Public Schools
Walt Disney World
Warren Brown Consulting
WRC Safety

Michael J. Pardus, REM, CESCO
Danyle Hepler, CSP, CESCO, CPEA
Jeremy Harris, CSP
Timothy Healey
Bruce Lyon, CSP, P.E., ARM, CHMM
Fred A Manuele, P.E., CSP
Frederick Heath
Carl Heinlein, CSP, ARM, CRIS, STS
Bryant Winterholer, P.E., CSP
Daniel Paine
Jose Velasquez
Ryan Wilbanks
Darryl Hill, Ph.D., CSP
Erica Stewart, CIH, FAIHA, HEM
Ashok Garlapati, CSP, QEP
John Rabovsky, CSP, ARM
Richard Newton
Thomas Kramer, P.E., CSP
Tracey Riepenhoff
Jerry E. Rivera
Mike Hayslip
John Mroszczyk, P.E., CSP
David Natalizia
David Bascom, REM
Lawrence Oldendorf
Paul Osley
Steven Schoolcraft, P.E., PMP, CSP
Pamela Perrich, CIH
Robb Potts, CSP
Jim Lapping, P.E., CSP
George Pearson, CSP, ARM
Christopher Gates, ARM
Arnie Galpin, P.E.
C. Gary Lopez, CSP
Daniel O'Connell
Robert Majeski
J. Terrence Grisim, CSP, CDS, CPSM, ARM
Kelly Scanlon, Dr.PH, CIH
Daniel J. Snyder, CSP
Leo DeBobes, CSP, CPEA
Ram (Reggie) Suga, CHMM
Joseph Winfield
Robert Baker
Georgi Popov, Ph.D., QEP
James H. Morris, III
Gail House, CSP
Warren K. Brown, CSP, ARM, CSHM
William R. Coffey, CSP, CPEA

Contents	SECTION	PAGE
	1. Scope, Purpose and Application	10
	1.1 Scope	10
	1.2 Purpose	10
	1.3 Application	10
	2. Referenced and Related Standards	11
	2.1 Referenced Standards and Guidelines	11
	2.2 Referenced American National Standards and Technical Reports	11
	3. Definitions	12
	4. Roles and Responsibility	14
	5. Relationships with Suppliers	15
	6. Design Safety Reviews	16
	7. The Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment Process	17
	7.1 Management Direction	17
	7.2 Select a Risk Assessment Matrix	18
	7.3 Establish the Analysis Parameters	18
	7.4 Anticipate/Identify the Hazards	19
	7.5 Consider the Failure Modes	20
	7.6 Assess the Severity of Consequences	20
	7.7 Determine Occurrence Probability	21
	7.8 Define the Initial Risk	21
	7.9 Select and Implement Risk Reduction and Control Methods	21
	7.10 Assess the Residual Risk	22
	7.11 Risk Acceptance Decision Making	22
	7.12 Document the Results	23
	7.13 Follow Up on Actions Taken	23
	8. Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment Techniques	23
	9. Hierarchy of Controls	24
	Addenda:	
	A – The Risk Assessment Process	27
	B – Progression of Occupational Hygiene Issues Flow Chart	28
	C – Procurement Guidelines	29
	D – Risk Assessment Report	31
	E – A Design Safety Review Guide	32
	F – Examples of Risk Assessment Matrices and Definitions of Terms	34
	G – Comments on Selected Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment Techniques	40
	H – Potential Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Sequence	44
	I – The Logic Supporting the Hierarchy of Controls	45
	J – Bibliography	50

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD Z590.3 PREVENTION THROUGH DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR ADDRESSING OCCUPATIONAL HAZARDS AND RISKS IN DESIGN AND REDESIGN PROCESSES

1. SCOPE, PURPOSE AND APPLICATION

1.1 Scope. This standard provides guidance on including prevention through design concepts within an occupational safety and health management system. Through the application of these concepts, decisions pertaining to occupational hazards and risks can be incorporated into the process of design and redesign of work premises, tools, equipment, machinery, substances, and work processes including their construction, manufacture, use, maintenance, and ultimate disposal or reuse. This standard provides guidance for a life-cycle assessment and design model that balances environmental and occupational safety and health goals over the life span of a facility, process, or product.

This standard complements but does not replace performance objectives existing in other specific standards and procedures.

The goals of applying prevention through design concepts in an occupational setting are to:

- Achieve acceptable risk levels.
- Prevent or reduce occupationally related injuries, illnesses, and fatalities.
- Reduce the cost of retrofitting necessary to mitigate hazards and risks that were not sufficiently addressed in the design or redesign processes.

1.2 Purpose. This standard pertains principally to the avoidance, elimination, reduction or control of occupational safety and health hazards and risks in the design and redesign process.

Note: Incidents or exposures that have the potential to result in occupational injuries and illnesses can also result in damage to property and business interruption, and damage to the environment. Reference is made in several places in this standard to those additional loss potentials which may require evaluation and resultant action.

1.3 Application. This standard may be applied in any occupational setting. This standard applies to the four major stages of occupational risk management as follows:

1. Pre-operational stage – in the initial planning, design, specification, prototyping, and construction processes, where the opportunities are greatest and the costs are lowest for hazard and risk avoidance, elimination, reduction or control.
2. Operational stage – where hazards and risks are identified and evaluated and mitigation actions are taken through redesign initiatives or changes in work methods before incidents or exposures occur.